



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,956 03/31/2004		Robert Stephen Lewandowski	132129	8312	
41838 General el	7590 12/21/2006 FCTRIC COMPANY (PC	EXAMINER			
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (PCPI) C/O FLETCHER YODER			LOBO, IAN J		
P. O. BOX 692 HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662		
•	,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,956	LEWANDOWSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
lan J. Lobo	3662	

		lan J. Lobo	3662	
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 01 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ Th thi pla a I	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on s application, applicant must timely file one of the follow aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance the periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔯	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	2	
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ice any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fili	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. 🔲 TI	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
(4)	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	·	solou ciaiiiis.	
4. 🗀 TI	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / unonamont ((1 TOL-024).
6. 🔲 N	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
ho	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [w the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
	aim(s) allowed:		•	
	aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected:			
	aim(s) rejected aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	• .		
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. 🔲 Th be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and is not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
REQUE	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<u>s</u>	he request for reconsideration has been considered but see Continuation Sheet.		ı condition for allowar	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<i></i>	
13. 🔲 O	ther:	• •	// 1/	1
	•		//an/y-5/2	50
			lan J. Lobo	

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3662

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the 112 rejection set forth in the final office action is still maintained since the indefiniteness was from the apparent discrepency between the 3claimed limitation in a dependent claim to "electrically conductive" being dependent upon a claim limitation in an independent claim to "coated with ... an insulating material". The arguments with respect to the 103 rejection have been considered and not found convincing since the limitation to ttrenches or barriers being "not extended completely" is not patentably distinguishable over the prior art.